Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/522,417	MUTIN, HUBERT
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter Szekely	1796
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Peter Szekely</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Roger Lee</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 August 2008	Time: <u>1:30 p.m.</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None.		
Claims discussed: None.		
Prior art documents discussed: None.		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: In claim 23 $t=2$ will be changed to $t=0$.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Peter Szekely/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796 (Aj	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)